**PATENT** 

REMARKS

Claims 1-3 and 6-52 are pending in the present application. In the above amendments,

claims 1, 6, 33, 38, 41, 48, and 52 have been amended.

In the Office Action mailed August 06, 2004, the Examiner rejected claims 6 and 7 under

35 U.S.C. § 112, claims 48 and 49 under 35 U.S.C. § 102, and claims 1-2, 8-13, 33-35, 38-47,

and 51-52 under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Applicants respectfully respond to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner rejected claims 6 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Applicants regard as the invention. Accordingly, in order to overcome the rejection, claim 6 has

been amended such that it now depends from claim 1. The rejection to claim 7 has become moot

because claim 6 has been amended.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Examiner rejected claims 48 and 49 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by

U.S. Patent No. 6,314,147 to Liang et al.

The rejection contends that Liang teaches an equalizer coupled to the one or more pre-

processors and operative to receive, combine, and equalize the one or more streams of samples to

generate symbol estimates. In order to overcome this rejection, claim 48 has been amended to

emphasize that the equalizer includes a slicer coupled to the summer to receive and quantize the

symbol estimates to generate sliced symbol estimates. This added feature is not described in

Liang.

Consequently, the Liang Patent does not anticipate the structure defined in claim 48 of the

present application under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) for at least the foregoing reasons. Claim 49 is a

claim dependent from claim 48 and therefore includes all the limitations of that independent

claim. Since the Liang Patent does not render claims 48 and 49, as amended, unpatentable

Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections thereof be withdrawn by the Examiner.

Attorney Docket No.: 000343

## Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Next, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 8-13, 33-35, 38-47, and 52 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,283,531 to Serizawa et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,812,601 to Schramm. To establish a prima facie case of obviousness three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation of, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine the reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference(s) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations.

The rejection contends that Serizawa teaches equalizing the one or more streams of samples with an equalizer to generate symbol estimates. In order to overcome this rejection, claims 1 and 33 have been amended to emphasize that equalizing comprises quantizing the symbol estimates and claims 38, 41, and 52 have been amended to emphasize that the equalizer further comprises a slicer to receive and quantize the symbol estimates. These features are not described in Serizawa and Schramm.

Applicants thus respectfully submit that claims 1, 33, 38, 41, and 52 are not rendered obvious by the Serizawa Patent when considered alone or in combination with Schramm. Claims 8-13, 34-35, 39-40, and 42-47 depend from claims 1, 33, 38, and 41 and therefore include all the limitations of those independent claims. Since the Serizawa and Schramm Patents do not render claims 1, 8-13, 33-35, 38-47, and 52, as amended, unpatentable Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections thereof be withdrawn by the Examiner.

Finally, the Examiner rejected claims 2 and 51 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serizawa et al. in view of Schramm. As mentioned above, claim 1 has been amended to emphasize that equalizing comprises quantizing the symbol estimates and claim 38 has been amended to emphasize that the equalizer further comprises a slicer to receive, and quantize the symbol estimates. These features are not described in Serizawa and Schramm. Claims 2 and 51 depend from claims 1 and 38 and therefore include all the limitations of those independent claims. Since the Serizawa and Schramm Patents do not render claims 2 and 51

Attorney Docket No.: 000343

Customer No.: 23696

unpatentable Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections thereof be withdrawn by the Examiner.

## REQUEST FOR ALLOWANCE

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that all pending claims in the application are patentable. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of this application are earnestly solicited. Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 10/21/2004

Arti A. Kane, Limited Recognition

858-845-2650

QUALCOMM Incorporated 5775 Morehouse Drive San Diego, California 92121 Telephone: (858) 651-4125

Facsimile:

(858) 658-2502

Attorney Docket No.: 000343

Customer No.: 23696

## BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ENROLLMENT AND DISCIPLINE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## LIMITED RECOGNITION UNDER 37 CFR § 10.9(b)

Arti Kane is hereby given limited recognition under 37 CFR § 10.9(b) as an employee of QUALCOMM Incorporated to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein QUALCOMM Incorporated is the assignee of record of the entire interest. This limited recognition shall expire on the date appearing below, or when whichever of the following events first occurs prior to the date appearing below: (i) Arti Kane ceases to lawfully reside in the United States, (ii) Arti Kane's employment with QUALCOMM Incorporated ceases or is terminated, or (iii) Arti Kane ceases to remain or reside in the United States on a H1B1 visa.

This document constitutes proof of such recognition. The original of this document is on file in the Office of Enrollment and Discipline of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

**Expires: May 5, 2005** 

Harry I. Moatz

Director of Enrollment and Discipline